UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/651,889	08/30/2000	Ritsuko Kawasaki	0756-2205	2171	
22204 NIXON PEAB	7590 01/30/2007 ON PEABODY, LLP TH STREET, NW FARAHANI, DANA E 900	INER			
401 9TH STREET, NW			FARAHA	FARAHANI, DANA	
SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2891		
				PAPER NUMBER	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	01/30/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/651,889	KAWASAKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dana Farahani	2891			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a real n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become All	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on g	17 November 2006.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
. 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the applica	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-9 is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 10-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.				
application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		ightharpoonup 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum					
 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But 	•	received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received			
	and or the doraned depice not	Toodivou.			
attachment(s)					
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<u> </u>			

Application/Control Number: 09/651,889 Page 2

Art Unit: 2891

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 11-16, 18, and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muragishi (US Patent 5,436,184), previously cited.

Muragishi discloses in figure 18, a TFT formed over a substrate; an active layer formed in the TFT, all inherent; a channel forming region 15d and 15f formed in the active layer and between the source and drain regions; wherein a portion of the channel region is convexed or concaved in a channel width region, which is parallel to a plane of the substrate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 3, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Muragishi as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of the Japanese patent [4]04152676A, previously cited.

Muragishi substantially discloses the limitations in the claims, as discussed above, except for zero or one grain boundary in the channel forming region.

The Japanese patent discloses in the abstract that the grain boundary in the channel region of a transistor is limited to one. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to limit the grain boundary of the channel region to one or zero in order to avoid undesired transistor behavior that results from the presence of grain boundaries in the channel region.

5. Claims 10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Muragishi, as applied to claims 1 and 16 above, and further in view of the Japanese patent 2001028338, previously cited.

Muragishi discloses the claimed invention, but does not disclose the thin film transistor is incorporated in to electronic devices such as camera. The Japanese patent '338 discloses thin film transistor used in digital camera and personal computer (see the second paragraph below the abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the thin film transistor in the devices such as cameras so those devices have electronic components with improved characteristics.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicants' arguments filed 11/17/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the channel forming region of the Muragishi is not concaved, because the junction portion between the channel and the source/drain is shown as concaved.

Art Unit: 2891

However, region 15d could be said to be the concave portion of the channel region, which is between regions 15f. Also, regions 15f could be said to be concaved, because the concave portion at the junction of the region 15f of the channel region and the region 15d of the channel region is not the junction between the channel and the source/drain, per se, rather the junction between the two aforesaid regions of the channel region belongs wholly to the channel region, and it is concaved.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana Farahani whose telephone number is (571)272-1706. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM - 5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/651,889

Art Unit: 2891

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 5

supervisor, Bill Baumeister can be reached on (571)272-1722. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DF

Chandra Chaudhari Primary Examiner

c Chardhari